

# Consumer-Driven Health Plans: Potential, Pitfalls and Policy Issues

Paul Fronstin, Ph.D.

Director, Health Research & Education Program
Employee Benefit Research Institute

Presentation for HCFO Cyber Seminar September 10, 2004

Copyright© - Employee Benefit Research Institute Education and Research Fund, 1978-2004. All rights reserved.

The information contained herein is not to be construed as an attempt to provide legal, accounting, actuarial, or other such professional advice. Permission to copy or print a personal use copy of this material is hereby granted and brief quotations for the purposes of news reporting and education are permitted. Otherwise, no part of this material may be used or reproduced without permission in writing



### Rosenthal Study

- Only 3% of plans using tiered copay model.
- Tiered copay model defined narrowly.
  - −54% in PPOs, 17% in POS plans. 86% with tiered Rx benefits.



### Hibbard et al. Study

- Adverse selection.
  - Health status.
  - Chronic disease.
  - Health related behaviors.
  - Cost savings actions.



### Parente et al. Study

- Apparent counterintuitive results.
  - CDHP drug use initially up, but then declined.
  - PPO decline ever greater.
  - CDHP making greater use of elective hospital admissions.
  - CDHP reduced use of ER.



## Challenges HRAs Face in Controlling Costs

- Do HRAs encourage consumerism?
- 50% of population use very little health care.
- High users are all above the average HRA deductible.
- Initial evidence of adverse selection.
- Only 16% provide cost information.



# Challenges HRAs Face in Controlling Costs

- HRA is funded with employer money.
  - Employee must view the account as their own money.
- Once above deductible comprehensive PPO-style insurance kicks in.
  - Very high users of health care services must be able to influence their own consumption effectively and significantly.
  - Behavior change may only delay point at which deductible is met.
- Other perverse incentives.
  - Induced demand prior to job change.
  - Induced demand if HRA balance.
  - Induced demand among otherwise low-cost users because service deemed "free".



### Tiered Copay Model

- 20% of population accounts for 80% of spending.
- 11 conditions account for 50% of spending.
- Tiered copay models.
  - Address care at point-of-service.
  - Provide incentives to users of care.
- High users have direct incentive to change own consumption of health care services – high potential to control costs.



#### Impact of Cost Sharing on Premiums

(Source: Lee & Tollen, Health Affairs, 2002.)

Cost Sharing Level	CoPay or Coinsurance (In/Out)	Deductible (Single, In Network)	OOP Max (Single, In Network)	% Premium Reduction from Level 1
1	\$15/30%	None	\$1,500	N/a
2	20%/40%	\$250	1,500	22.1%
3	20%/40%	500	1,500	27.6%
4	20%/40%	1,000	3,000	34.6%
5	30%/50%	500	1,500	37.7%
6	30%/50%	1,000	3,000	43.2%
7	50%/70%	500	None	44.3%
8	50%/70%	1,000	None	48.4%
9	50%/70%	2,000	None	53.6%



## Consumer-Driven Plans Only for the Healthy and Wealthy?

- Wealthy will buy CDHPs even if unhealthy.
  - Wealthy tend to be older with poorer health status.
  - HSAs may become another tax-favored vehicle to save money.
- Unhealthy will buy CDHPs if previously in restrictive HMOs.
- Initial evidence of adverse selection, but could change over time.



### Health Savings Accounts (HSAs)

- Allows for tax-free accumulation of savings.
- Tied to high deductible to make contributions.
- Employee "owned" or portable.
- Catch-up contributions for persons 55-64.
- Tax free distributions.
  - Health care services.
  - COBRA and LTCI premiums.
  - Retiree health premiums for Medicare-eligible retirees.



#### Large Employers

- Represent 42% of workers.
- Take-up pattern may or may not be similar to managed care trends.
- Strong interest now, will wane as employers become more educated.
- May prefer HRAs over HSAs.
- HRAs not funded until employee incurs expense.
- HSAs funded before expense incurred.
  - Employers hesitant to hand out money to healthy.
- Savings potential related to high deductible, not HSA.
  - 39% of employers do not plan to fund HSA.



### Small Employers

- Represent 29% of workers.
- Slower to change health benefits generally.
- In 2002, after 3 years of double digit premium increases, only 19% changed plan.
- Maintained current health benefits because of positive impact on:
  - Recruitment and retention.
  - Productivity.
  - Health Status.
  - Overall success of the business.
- Health benefits are the most valued employee benefit by workers.



### Small Employers

- 43% responded to cost increase in several ways:
  - Reduced or eliminated pay, raises, or bonuses.
  - Reduced other employee benefits.
  - Put off equipment and other purchases.
  - Not able to hire needed workers or let workers go.
- Reflects fact that even when cost of benefits are increasing, employers will make trade-offs to maintain those benefits.
- A significant number of employers will NOT adopt HSAs if they think it will be harmful to the overall success of the business.



#### Potential for Cost Control

- HSAs offer better incentives than HRAs to change use of health care.
  - Value from HRA only if money is spent.
  - Value from HSA if money is not spent.
- Very high users of health care services are unable to influence their own consumption effectively.



### Public Policy

- Alphabet soup and confusion.
  - HSA, MSA, HRA, FSA.
  - IRA, 401k, 403b, 467, SEP, SERP, Keogh,
     Simple.
- Regulation of quality and cost information.
- Response to consumerism backlash.



#### **EBRI**

2121 K Street NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20037

Phone: 202-659-0670

Fax: 202-775-6312

www.ebri.org